PROPOSED RULE MAKING



CR-102 (December 2017) (Implements RCW 34.05.320)

Do NOT use for expedited rule making

CODE REVISER USE ONLY

OFFICE OF THE CODE REVISER STATE OF WASHINGTON FILED

DATE: July 23, 2020

TIME: 8:33 AM

WSR 20-16-014

Agency: Washington Horse Racing Commission							
X Original Notice							
□ Supplemental Notice to WSR							
□ Continuance of WSR							
X Preproposal Statement of Inquiry was filed as WSR 20-11-023 ; or							
□ Expedited Rule MakingProposed notice was filed as WSR; or							
☐ Proposal is exempt under RCW 34.05.310(4) or 34.05.330(1); or							
□ Proposal is exempt under RCW							
Title of rule and other identifying information: (describe subject) WAC 260-49-070 Distribution of Source Market Fees							
Hearing location(s):							
Date:	Time:	Location: (be specific)	Comment:				
September 11, 2020	9:30 a.m.	Video Conference via Zoom or Auburn City Council Chambers 25 West Main, Auburn, WA 98002	Dependent on gathering limits, method of meeting will be determined at a later date and posted on www.whrc.wa.gov				
Date of intended adoption: September 11, 2020 (Note: This is NOT the effective date)							
Submit written comm	nents to:						
Name: Douglas L Moore Address: 6326 Martin Way Suite 209 Olympia, WA 98516 Email: doug.moore@whrc.state.wa.us Fax: 360-549-6461 Other: By (date) September 4, 2020							
Assistance for perso	ns with dis	abilities:					
Contact Melanie Bowdish Phone: 360-459-6462 Fax: 360-459-6461 TTY: Email: melanie.bowdish@whrc.state.wa.us							
Other: By (date) September 4, 2020							
Purpose of the proposal and its anticipated effects, including any changes in existing rules: To stabilize the WHRC operating account by increasing the percentage of the SMF received until other funding is obtained							

Reasons supporting proposal: With the COVID-19 pandemic and the closure of OTB's and Emerald Downs for live in-State wagering from March to June, WHRC revenue has decreased substantially, while expenses remain consistent. On-line wagering thorough the Advance Deposit Wagering firms has increased greatly but the WHRC does not receive any revenue from out of State residents who wager on Emerald Downs. In order to maintain a fund balance required by OFM, the WHRC must increase in revenue to protect its ability to remain in operation therefore allowing live racing and simulcasting to continue.							
Statutory authori	ty for adoption: 67.16.020						
Statute being implemented:							
Is rule necessary	because of a:						
Federal Lav		□ Yes X No					
Federal Cou		□ Yes X No					
State Court	Decision?		□ Yes X No				
If yes, CITATION:							
Agency comment	ts or recommendations, if any	, as to statutory language, implementation, enfo	rcement, and fiscal				
matters.							
Name of propone	ent: (person or organization)		☐ Private				
			□ Public				
			☐ Governmental				
Name of agency	personnel responsible for:						
	Name	Office Location	Phone				
Drafting:	Douglas L Moore	6326 Martin Way Suite 209 Olympia WA 98516	360-459-6462				
Implementation:	Douglas L Moore	6326 Martin Way Suite 209 Olympia WA 98516	360-459-6462				
Enforcement:	Douglas L Moore	6326 Martin Way Suite 209 Olympia WA 98516	360-459-6462				
	•	ired under RCW 28A.305.135?	□ Yes X No				
If yes, insert stater	ment here:						
The public may obtain a copy of the school district fiscal impact statement by contacting: Name: Address: Phone:							
Fax:							
TTY:							
Email: Other:							
Is a cost-benefit analysis required under RCW 34.05.328?							
☐ Yes: A preliminary cost-benefit analysis may be obtained by contacting:							
Name:		, ,					
Address	:						
Phone:							
Fax: TTY:							
Email:							
Other:							
X No: Pleas	se explain:						

Regulatory	Fairness Act Cost Considerations for a	Small Busin	ess Economic Impact Statement:			
This rule proposal, or portions of the proposal, may be exempt from requirements of the Regulatory Fairness Act (see chapter 19.85 RCW). Please check the box for any applicable exemption(s):						
☐ This rule adopted so regulation t adopted. Citation and ☐ This rule	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is ex lely to conform and/or comply with federal s his rule is being adopted to conform or com d description: e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is ex	tempt under F tatute or regu ply with, and o tempt becaus	RCW 19.85.061 because this rule making is being lations. Please cite the specific federal statute or describe the consequences to the state if the rule is not e the agency has completed the pilot rule process			
-	RCW 34.05.313 before filing the notice of the proposal, or portions of the proposal, is ex		ule. ne provisions of RCW 15.65.570(2) because it was			
	a referendum.					
☐ This rul	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is ex	empt under F	RCW 19.85.025(3). Check all that apply:			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(b)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(e)			
	(Internal government operations)		(Dictated by statute)			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(c)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(f)			
	(Incorporation by reference)		(Set or adjust fees)			
	RCW 34.05.310 (4)(d)		RCW 34.05.310 (4)(g)			
	(Correct or clarify language)		((i) Relating to agency hearings; or (ii) process requirements for applying to an agency for a license			
			or permit)			
	e proposal, or portions of the proposal, is exn of exemptions, if necessary:	empt under F	RCW			
	COMPLETE THIS SECT	ION ONLY IF	NO EXEMPTION APPLIES			
If the propo	sed rule is not exempt , does it impose mor	e-than-minor	costs (as defined by RCW 19.85.020(2)) on businesses?			
X No	Briefly summarize the agency's analysis s	showing how o	costs were calculated. <u>Not business related</u>			
☐ Yes econom	Calculations show the rule proposal likely ic impact statement is required. Insert state	•	e-than-minor cost to businesses, and a small business			
	public may obtain a copy of the small busine acting:	ess economic	impact statement or the detailed cost calculations by			
N	ame:					
	ddress:					
	hone:					
	ax: TY:					
	mail:					
	Other:					
Date: July 2		Signat	ure:			
Name: Douglas L Moore			Dougl of More			
Title: Executive Secretary			ways - 1110ric			

- WAC 260-49-070 Distribution of source market fee. (1) A source market fee shall be paid monthly, unless otherwise directed by the commission, for the source market fee area on all accounts that have Washington as the principal residence address.
- (2) The authorized advance deposit wagering service provider shall, at least monthly, unless otherwise directed by the commission, distribute the total source market fee as follows:
- (a) Ninety percent of the total source market fee directly to the class 1 racing association and the remaining ten percent directly to the commission.
- (b) The class 1 racing association shall (($\frac{distribute}{distribute}$)) submit monthly two and one-half percent of the total source market fee to the commission to be deposited into the Washington bred owners' bonus fund (($\frac{distribute}{distribute}$).
- (c) The class 1 racing association shall distribute two and one-half percent of the total source market fee to the Washington bred breeder award account as provided in RCW 67.16.175.
- $((\frac{(c)}{(c)}))$ (d) The class 1 racing association and the recognized horsemen's organization shall negotiate a separate agreement for contributions to the purse account from the source market fee and submit the agreement for review and approval by the commission. The class 1 racing association shall distribute the horsemen's share of the source market fee in accordance with the horseman's agreement.
- (((d) The commission shall distribute two and one-half percent of the total source market fee to the Washington bred owners' bonus fund and breeder award account and seven and one-half percent of the total source market fee to the commission's operating account.))
- (3) The commission shall annually review the distribution of the source market fee. Any changes to the distribution shall be adopted by rule.

[1] OTS-2467.2